

Four years on: Pregnancy and birth outcomes reported in the MSBase pregnancy, neonatal outcomes, and Women's Health Registry (2020–2024)

Vilija G Jokubaitis , Raed Alroughani, Ayse Altintas, Sara Eichau, Stella Hughes, Barbara Willekens, Dana Horakova , Eva Kubala Havrdova, Serkan Ozakbas, Cavit Boz, Mario Habek, Tomas Kalincik , Izanne Roos , Masoud Etemadifar, Marek Peterka, Jeannette Lechner-Scott, Jose E Meca-Lallana, Zuzana Rous, Jana Houskova, Alexandre Prat, Marc Girard, Radek Ampapa, Katherine Buzzard, Olga Skibina, Nevin A John , Allan G Kermodé , Marzena J Fabis-Pedrini, Matteo Foschi, Andrea Surcinelli, Yolanda Blanco, Seyed Mohammad Baghbanian , Oliver Gerlach, Richard Macdonell, Zbysek Pavelek , Pavel Stourac, Pamela McCombe, Guy Laureys, Helmut Butzkueven, Anneke van der Walt*  and Orla Gray*; on behalf of the MSBase Study Investigators

Abstract

Background: Family planning is an important aspect of multiple sclerosis (MS), and neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder (NMOSD) management. Knowledge gaps remain, including optimal perinatal management strategies, and fetal risks associated with disease-modifying therapy (DMT) exposure.

Objective: To describe perinatal DMT use, together with pregnancy and neonatal outcomes prospectively recorded in the International MSBase Pregnancy and Women's Health Registry.

Methods: We report summary statistics for data collected between May 2020 and August 2024.

Results: A total of 1887 relapsing-remitting MS (RRMS), 12 primary-progressive MS (PPMS), 2 radiologically isolated syndrome (RIS) and 21 NMOSD completed pregnancies were recorded, including 1644 (85.5%) live births, 208 (10.8%) miscarriages, and 6 (0.3%) neonatal deaths. Most women had unassisted (53.8%) or assisted (7.4%) vaginal births. Seventy five percent of pregnancies had DMT exposures within 6 months preconception; 19% of NMOSD, and 62% of MS pregnancies were DMT-exposed during gestation; 18.1% of pregnancies reported in-pregnancy monoclonal antibody DMT exposure. No overt safety signals were seen.

Conclusion: This first report from the newly launched MSBase pregnancy registry, establishes an increasing number of pregnancies being conceived on monoclonal antibody therapies. Although no safety signals were observed, it is important to continue monitoring for safety signals in real-world databases as the use of highly effective therapies continues to increase perinatally.

Keywords: Multiple sclerosis, neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder, pregnancy, disease-modifying therapy, neonatal outcomes

Date received: 26 February 2025; revised: 13 May 2025; accepted: 24 May 2025

Introduction

Women are disproportionately diagnosed with multiple sclerosis (MS) and related disorders. Women with MS outnumber men by three-fold,¹ whereas women with neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder

(NMOSD) outnumber men by nine-fold.² Commonly diagnosed in their third to fifth decades of life, most women will use disease-modifying therapies (DMTs) for much of their lives. Our understanding of the impact of these conditions, and the use of DMT on



Correspondence to:

VG Jokubaitis

Department of Neuroscience, School of Translational Medicine, Monash University, The Alfred Centre, Level 6, 99 Commercial Road, Melbourne 3004, Australia.
vilija.jokubaitis@monash.edu

Vilija G Jokubaitis

Helmut Butzkueven
Anneke van der Walt
Department of Neuroscience, School of Translational Medicine, Monash University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia; Department of Neurology, Alfred Health, Melbourne, VIC, Australia

Raed Alroughani

Al Amiri Hospital, Sharq, Kuwait

Ayşe Altintas

Koc University School of Medicine and Koc University Research Center for Translational Medicine, Istanbul, Turkey

Sara Eichau

Hospital Universitario Virgen Macarena, Sevilla, Andalucía, Spain

Stella Hughes

Royal Victoria Hospital, Belfast, UK

Barbara Willekens

Department of Neurology, Antwerp University Hospital, Edegem, Belgium

women's health, including fecundity, fertility,³ and pregnancy,^{2,4} together with reproductive aging and menopause^{3,5} is evolving.

The evidence base for the safe and effective use of DMTs perinatally in women with MS is growing, increasing the confidence of neurologists to recommend and prescribe these medications during pregnancy planning and, for some DMTs, in pregnancy. Data from large registries including MSBase,^{6,7} the Canadian,⁸ Danish,⁹ French,¹⁰ German,^{11,12} Italian,¹³ and American¹⁴ pregnancy registries, as well as purpose-designed studies¹⁵ provide critical real-world insights that, in addition to post-marketing pharma-led studies, inform clinical guidelines on the use of these DMT through pregnancy and postpartum.^{4,16} The evidence base around NMOSD and NMOSD DMT use during pregnancy still remains limited, as 2000 exposed pregnancies are ideally required for each DMT to accurately define risks, including rare birth defects.^{2,17–19}

While the international MS outcomes registry MSBase²⁰ has prospectively collected pregnancy-related information since 2004, detailed pregnancy and neonatal outcomes data were not recorded. With the growing number of DMT available to treat MS and NMOSD, the need to capture more detailed data is evident. In response, MSBase launched a prospective Pregnancy, Neonatal Outcomes and Women's Health Registry²¹ in 2020. The purpose of this registry is to address the impact of MS and related disorders, together with the impact DMTs on women's health throughout the lifespan.²¹ In this first update from our women's health registry since its launch, we specifically report on pregnancy and birth outcomes in the context of an expanding number of DMT available for the management of MS and NMOSD through pregnancy.

Methods

The MSBase Pregnancy, Neonatal Outcomes and Women's Health Registry

The MSBase Pregnancy, Neonatal Outcomes and Women's Health Registry began collecting detailed maternal and neonatal health outcomes on 10 May 2020.²¹ New data fields include assisted reproductive technology method, pregnancy outcome, obstetric/maternal complications, delivery method, reason for termination/miscarriage, congenital abnormality, birthweight, sex, and breastfeeding data.

Data are collected from 45 countries spanning the northern parts of Africa, North and South America, Asia, Australasia, Europe, and the Middle East.

Study ethics

The Registry is integrated within the MSBase Registry (registered within the WHO International Clinical TrialsRegistryPlatform IDACTRN12605000455662). The MSBase Registry has ethics approvals or exemptions granted by each participating site's human research ethics committee. All participants provide informed consent for their de-identified clinical data to be shared with researchers.

Data acquisition and study population

Data were collected during routine outpatient neurology visits and recorded by neurologists or nurses in real-time and therefore reflect real-world clinical care. Data are collected from women of childbearing age with relapse-onset MS (ROMS), progressive-onset MS (POMS), radiologically isolated syndrome (RIS) and NMOSD (both probable and definite).

Definitions

Any woman of **childbearing age** (18–45 years) actively followed in the MSBase Registry during the observation period was included in the present analysis. Women were classified as **actively followed** in our registry if they had at least one clinical visit during our **observation period** between 10 May 2020 (registry launch) and 1 August 2024 (date of data extract). A **completed pregnancy** refers to any pregnancy that has ended with an outcome reported encompassing live births, miscarriages, terminations, ectopic pregnancies and neonatal deaths.

Statistical methods

We report summary statistics for demographic, clinical, treatment, pregnancy, birth, and neonatal outcomes data collected to-date. All variables were assessed for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk normality test, and reported as means and standard deviations (SD) or as medians and interquartile ranges (IQRs) as appropriate. Categorical data are reported as numbers and percentages.

Data availability statement

MSBase is a data processor, warehousing data from individual principal investigators who agree to share their datasets on a project-by-project basis. Data

Dana Horakova
Eva Kubala Havrdova
Department of Neurology and Center of Clinical Neuroscience, First Faculty of Medicine, Charles University in Prague and General University Hospital, Prague, Czech Republic

Serkan Ozakbas
Izmir University of Economics, Medical Point Hospital, Izmir, Turkey; Multiple Sclerosis Research Association, Izmir, Turkey

Cavit Boz
Department of Neurology, Medical Faculty, Karadeniz Technical University, Trabzon, Turkey

Mario Habek
Department of Neurology, University Hospital Center Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia School of Medicine, University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia

Tomas Kalincik
Izanne Roos
Neuroimmunology Centre, Department of Neurology, Royal Melbourne Hospital, Melbourne, VIC, Australia; CORe, Department of Medicine, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC, Australia

Masoud Etemadifar
Faculty of Medicine, Isfahan university of Medical sciences, Isfahan, Iran

Marek Peterka
Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital in Pilsen, Charles University in Pilsen, Pilsen, Czech Republic

Jeannette Lechner-Scott
Hunter Medical Research Institute, University of Newcastle; Department of Neurology, Hunter New England Health, John Hunter Hospital, Newcastle, NSW, Australia

Jose E Meca-Lallana
Multiple Sclerosis CSUR, Clinical Neuroimmunology Unit, Neurology Department, Virgen de la Arrixaca Clinical University Hospital, IMIB-Arrixaca and NICEM Cathedra-UCAM-Catholic University San Antonio, Murcia, Spain

Zuzana Rous
Faculty of Medicine, Palacky University and University Hospital Olomouc, Czech Republic

Jana Houskova
Hospital Ceske Budejovice, Czech Republic

Alexandre Prat
Marc Girard
CHUM MS Center and Université de Montreal, Montreal, QC, Canada

Radek Ampapa
Nemocnice Jihlava, Jihlava, Czech Republic

Katherine Buzzard
Department of
Neurosciences, Eastern
Health Clinical School,
Box Hill Hospital,
Monash University, Box
Hill, VIC, Australia

Olga Skibina
Department of
Neurology, Alfred
Health, Melbourne, VIC,
Australia; Department of
Neurosciences, Eastern
Health Clinical School,
Box Hill Hospital,
Monash University, Box
Hill, VIC, Australia

Nevin A John
Department of Medicine,
School of Clinical
Sciences, Monash
University, Clayton, VIC,
Australia; Department of
Neurology, Monash
Health, Clayton, VIC,
Australia

Allan G Kermode
Perren Institute for
Neurological and
Translational Science,
University of Western
Australia, Nedlands WA,
Australia; QEII Medical
Centre, Perth, Australia;
Personalised Medicine
Centre, Health Futures
Institute, Murdoch
University, Murdoch,
WA, Australia; Institute
for Immunology and
Infectious Diseases,
Murdoch University,
Perth, WA, Australia

**Marzena J Fabis-
Pedrini**
Perren Institute for
Neurological and
Translational Science,
University of Western
Australia, Nedlands WA,
Australia; QEII Medical
Centre, Perth, Australia;
Personalised Medicine
Centre, Health Futures
Institute, Murdoch
University, Murdoch,
WA, Australia

Matteo Foschi
Department of
Neuroscience, MS
Center, Neurology
Unit, S. Maria delle
Croci Hospital of
Ravenna, Ravenna,
Italy; Department of
Biotechnological
and Applied Clinical
Sciences, University of
L'Aquila, L'Aquila, Italy

Andrea Surcinelli
Department of
Neuroscience, MS
Center, Neurology Unit,
S. Maria delle Croci
Hospital of Ravenna,
Ravenna, Italy

Yolanda Blanco
Center of
Neuroimmunology,




Figure 1. Consort flow diagram of study inclusion showing the number of pregnancies prospectively recorded, stratified by disease phenotype: radiologically isolated syndrome (RIS), relapse-onset MS (including secondary progressive MS), progressive-onset MS, and neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder (NMOSD).

access to external parties can be granted at the sole discretion of each MSBase Principal Investigator (the data controllers), who will need to be approached individually for permission. To make a request for data access, please contact the corresponding author for instructions.

Results

Patient and pregnancy characteristics

The MSBase Pregnancy, Neonatal Outcomes and Women's Health Registry²¹ actively followed 20,850 women of childbearing age between 10 May 2020 and 1 August 2024. Of these 20,850 women, 20,539 had a diagnosis of MS, 40 had a diagnosis of radiologically isolated syndrome (RIS), and 271 had a diagnosis of NMOSD. These women had an average age of 37.3 years (SD 7.1 years) at data extract, with 2411 women now aged between 45 and 49 years. The

median follow-up duration for this cohort in the MSBase Registry was 5.25 years (IQR: 1.9, 9.9). The median disease duration at data extract was 8.5 years (IQR: 4.3, 14.0) for women with MS, and 7.2 years (IQR: 2.9, 11.3) for women with NMOSD.

We recorded 9541 pregnancies for 5806 (27.8%) of the women followed during the observation period (see Figure 1). Of these, 7024 pregnancies for 4299 women were completed prior to our registry launch, and 2517 (26.4%) pregnancies for 2146 women were captured from 10 May 2020 onwards. The contribution by country to the registry is displayed in Figure 2.

Of the 2146 women who had pregnancies recorded in the newly launched Registry; 640 women had pregnancies both prior to and after Registry launch, and 1,507 women had pregnancies only after pregnancy registry launch. A total of 1820 (84.8%) women had a single pregnancy, 285 (13.3%) had two pregnancies,




Figure 2. Number of pregnancies prospectively recorded by country, or region if fewer than 10 captured. This figure further displays the differences in regional peripartum management, showing the number of disease-modifying therapy (DMT) exposures during pregnancy, categorized by DMT type: none; interferons, glatiramer acetate or dimethyl fumarate (IFN/GA/DMF); monoclonal antibodies (Mabs, including: natalizumab, ocrelizumab, ofatumumab, rituximab, alemtuzumab); and all other therapies.

Table 1. Patient characteristics at each prospectively recorded pregnancy with known outcomes.

	ROMS, <i>n</i> = 1987	POMS, <i>n</i> = 12	RIS, <i>n</i> = 2	NMOSD, <i>n</i> = 22
Age at pregnancy, years (mean, SD)	32.3 (4.6)	36.8 (5.0)	31.5 (0.5)	28.9 (5.7)
range	18–45.5	27.8–43	31–31.8	20.8–43.5
Disease duration at pregnancy (median, IQR)	6.2 (3.1, 10.3)	5.4 (4.1, 7.7)	–	3.4 (0.7, 5.9)
range	0–30.9	0–15.1	–	0–20.2
EDSS within 12 m prior to pregnancy^a	1.5 (1, 2)	3.5 (3, 5.5)	0	1 (0, 2)
range	0–7	2–7.5	0	0–3.5
BMI within 12 m prior to pregnancy (median, IQR)^b	23.5 (21.2, 26.2)	NA	NA	33.2
range	17.0–50.9			
ART use				
IVF	44 (2.2%)	1 (8.3%)	-	1 (4.5%)
Ovulation drugs	2 (0.1%)	-	-	-
Other	1 (0.05%)	-	-	-
None	528 (26.6%)	3 (25%)	2 (100%)	12 (54.5.2%)
Not reported	1,406 (70.8%)	8 (66.7%)	-	9 (41%)

ROMS: relapse-onset MS; POMS: progressive-onset MS; RIS: radiologically isolated syndrome; NMOSD: neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder; SD: standard deviation; IQR: interquartile range; m: months; IVF: in vitro fertilization; IUI: intrauterine insemination; NA: not available.

^aData available for 1738 ROMS pregnancies; 8 POMS pregnancies; 2 RIS pregnancies; 16 NMOSD pregnancies.

^bData available for 102 ROMS pregnancies and 1 NMOSD pregnancy.

and 41 (1.9%) women reported three or more pregnancies during the observation period. The mean maternal age at the last menstrual period (LMP) was 32.3 years (SD 4.7 years), with a median expanded disability status scale (EDSS) score of 1.5 (IQR: 1, 2)

within 12 months of the LMP. The median follow-up post-pregnancy was 1.7 years (IQR: 0.8, 2.6 years; range: 0.1–4.2 years). Detailed, per-phenotype, patient characteristics at each pregnancy are summarized in Table 1.

Service of Neurology, Hospital Clinic de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

Seyed Mohammad Baghbanian
Neurology Department, Booalisina Hospital, Faculty of Medicine, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran

Oliver Gerlach
Academic MS Center Zuyd, Department of Neurology, Zuyderland Medical Center, Sittard-Geleen, the Netherlands; Department of Neurology, School for Mental Health and Neuroscience, Maastricht University Medical Center, Maastricht, the Netherlands

Richard Macdonell
Department of Neurology, Austin Health, Melbourne, VIC, Australia

Zbysek Pavelek
Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital Hradec Kralove, Charles University in Prague, Hradec Kralove, Czech Republic

Pavel Stourac
Masaryk University Brno and University Hospital, Brno, Czech Republic

Pamela McCombe
Department of Neurology, the University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD, Australia

Guy Laureys
Department of Neurology, University Hospital Ghent, Brussels, Belgium

Orla Gray
South Eastern HSC Trust, Belfast, UK

*These authors contributed equally

We had limited ascertainment of several demographic factors for the 2,146 women with prospectively reported pregnancies. Educational status was available for 684 (31.9%) of women. Of these 57 (8.3%) had completed elementary school, 194 (28.4%) had completed high school, and 433 (63.3%) had completed a tertiary degree. Employment status was available for 660 (30.7%) of women. Of these women, 441 (66.8%) were employed, 45 (6.8%) were unemployed, 83 (12.6%) performed domestic duties, 90 (13.6%) were students, and 1 (0.15%) reported being on a disability support pension. Smoking status was only available for 99 (4.6%) of women, of which 15 (15.1%) were current smokers, 17 (17.2%) were past smokers, and 67 (67.7%) were never smokers. We recorded 592 pregnancies with known outcomes for which assisted reproductive therapy (ART) data were available. Of these, 543 (91.7%) did not use ART; 46 conceived using in vitro fertilization (IVF), two used ovulation drugs and one used other methods.

Pregnancy outcomes

As of 1 August 2024, 1922 (76.4%) of pregnancies were completed, 101 (4%) pregnancies were ongoing. 494 (19.6%) pregnancies did not have outcome data available beyond the date of the last menstrual period and were considered lost to follow-up. Of reported pregnancy outcomes 1464 (76.2%) of pregnancies resulted in full-term births, 180 (9.4%) were preterm births, totaling 1644 (85.5%) live births. 208 (13.7%) of pregnancies resulted in miscarriage, and 55 (2.9%) in termination. Six (0.3%) neonatal deaths and 9 (0.5%) ectopic pregnancies were reported. Of the total 1644 live births reported in our registry, birth-weights were available for 413 term babies with a median weight of 3260 g (IQR: 3000, 3590). 918 (55.8%) babies were breastfed of which 150 (16.3%) reported exclusive breastfeeding for a median 3 months (IQR: 1, 5 months; range: 0–6 months). For detailed pregnancy outcomes by disease phenotype, see Table 2. Most pregnancies were singletons, with only 35 twin pregnancies reported. Of the twin pregnancies, 21 were born at term, nine preterm, four miscarriages, and one elective termination were also reported.

The majority of women had unassisted (54.1%) or assisted (7.5%) vaginal births. Elective cesarean sections comprised 29.1% of delivery methods, most often performed in Iran (57.1%), Turkey (50.9%), Italy (46.3%), and Australia (37%). Birth complication data were reported for 614 (31.9%) completed pregnancies. Of these 535 (87.1%) reported no complications. The most common complications were

gestational diabetes ($n=16$) and pre-eclampsia or pregnancy-induced hypertension ($n=10$). Antepartum hemorrhage was reported in five women. Additional complications reported included: growth restriction, large for gestational age, low birth weight, oligohydramnios, polyhydramnios, premature membrane rupture, placenta previa, placental abruption, and others.

Disease-modifying therapy exposures

In the 6 months prior to conception, 506 (25%) pregnancies with known outcomes had no DMT exposure and 1517 (75%) were DMT-exposed, of which 282 (18.6%) discontinued within the 6 months prior to conception with a median 31 days washout (IQR: 2 day, 97 days). Disease-modifying therapy (DMT) exposure in-pregnancy was reported for 1228 (61.8%) pregnancies in women with ROMS, 5 (41.7%) pregnancies in women with POMS, and 4 (19%) NMOSD pregnancies (Table 2). DMT exposure trends varied by country (Figure 2). The interferons, glatiramer acetate, and dimethyl fumarate were most commonly used in pregnancy with 37.8% of pregnancies exposed to these drugs. In-pregnancy monoclonal antibody infusions were recorded in 18.1% of pregnancies.

Of ROMS pregnancies, 1006 (62.3%) of live birth (term and preterm) pregnancies received DMT during pregnancy. The median length of DMT exposure in pregnancy was 42 days (IQR: 25, 218; range: 1–290). Figure 3 summarizes the DMT exposure trends in women with ROMS who had live births from the 6 months prior to conception through to 3 months postpartum. The per-DMT exposure durations for this cohort are summarized in Table 3. Postpartum, 811 (50.2%) ROMS women with live births resumed DMT use within 3 months (Figure 3, Table 3). Whereas, 183 (68%) women reporting miscarriages, terminations and other adverse pregnancy outcomes resumed DMT within 3 months of pregnancy completion.

In the NMOSD cohort, six rituximab exposures were recorded in the 6 months prior to conception. Of these, two ceased therapy prior to conception with a wash-out of 2 and 4 months, respectively. Four rituximab exposures occurred during pregnancy, median gestation at DMT exposure was 26 days (IQR: 24, 43). Of these six pregnancies, five resulted in healthy, term deliveries, and one in neonatal death. One woman was exposed to eculizumab 2.5 months prior to conception; this pregnancy was aborted. No women with NMOSD restarted DMT within 3 months postpartum; however, five women resumed rituximab a median

Table 2. Pregnancy and neonatal outcomes by disease phenotype for pregnancies with known outcomes.

	ROMS, <i>n</i> =1,987	POMS, <i>n</i> =12	RIS, <i>n</i> =2	NMOSD, <i>n</i> =22
Pregnancy outcome, <i>n</i> (%)				
Ongoing pregnancy	100 (5%)	-	-	1 (4.5%)
1 st Trimester	7			
2 nd Trimester	36			
3 rd Trimester	57			1
Term pregnancy (>=37w)	1437 (72.3%)	9 (75%)	1 (50%)	15 (68.2%)
Term pregnancy (cong. ab)	173 (8.7%)	1 (8.3%)	1 (50%)	2 (9.1%)
Preterm pregnancy (<37w)	1615 (81.3%)	10 (83%)	2 (100%)	17 (85%)
Preterm pregnancy (cong. ab)	202 (10.2%)	1 (8.3%)	-	2 (9.1%)
Live births total	53 (2.7%)	1 (8.3%)	-	1 (4.5%)
Neonatal Death ^a	9 (0.5%)	-	-	-
Miscarriage (<20w)				
Miscarriage (>=20w)				
Elective termination				
Ectopic pregnancy				
Delivery mode, <i>n</i> (%)^b				
Elective Cesarean	<i>n</i> =781 226 (28.9%)	<i>n</i> =5 2 (40%)	<i>n</i> =2 -	<i>n</i> =9 4 (44.4%)
Emergency Cesarean	78 (10%)	-	1 (50%)	-
Vaginal Delivery	423 (54.2%)	3 (60%)	1 (50%)	4 (44.4%)
Vaginal Delivery (assisted)	59 (7.6%)	-	-	1 (11.1%)
Not reported	834	5	-	8
Baby sex^b, <i>n</i> (%)				
Female	<i>n</i> =728 351 (48.2%)			
Male	377 (51.8%)			
Weight^{b, c}, <i>n</i> (%)				
kg (median, IQR)	<i>n</i> =407 (28.2%) 3.3 kg (3 kg, 3.6 kg)			
range	1.9 kg–5.5 kg			
Pregnancies DMT exposed, <i>n</i> (%)^b	1228 (61.8%)	5 (41.7%)	0 (0%)	4(19%)
Live birth pregnancies DMT exposed, <i>n</i> (%)	1006 (62.3%)	3 (30%)	0 (0%)	4(23.5%)
Breastfed, <i>n</i> (%)^b	903 (55.9%)	3 (30%)	2 (100%)	10 (58.8%)
Breastfed exclusively, <i>n</i> (%)^d	146 (16.2%)	2 (67%)	1 (50%)	1 (10%)

ROMS: relapse-onset MS; POMS: progressive-onset MS; RIS: radiologically isolated syndrome; NMOSD: neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder; SD: standard deviation; IQR: interquartile range; m: months.

^aMS neonatal deaths occurred in 2 unexposed pregnancies, 2 pregnancies exposed to interferon-beta-1a-SC, 1 pregnancy exposed to natalizumab. NMOSD pregnancy was exposed to rituximab.

^bPercentage based on known outcomes for live births.

^cTerm pregnancies only. Too few data points to report for POMS, RIS, and NMOSD pregnancies individually.

^dPercentage of breastfed babies.

271 days (IQR: 219, 287) after the completion of their pregnancies. We did not observe any perinatal exposures to satralizumab.

Of 918 breastfed babies, 296 (32.2%) were DMT-exposed during breastfeeding in the first 3 months

postpartum. All breastfeeding mothers who resumed DMT within the first 3 months postpartum had ROMS. The median time to DMT reinitiation during this period was 2 days (IQR: 0, 43; range: 0–91). The DMTs resumed during breastfeeding included glatiramer acetate (*n*=78), interferon-beta preparations




Figure 3. Frequencies and patterns of disease-modifying therapy (DMT) exposure 6 months preconception, during pregnancy (for any duration) and in the first 3 months postpartum in women with relapse-onset MS. DMTs with fewer than five exposures grouped together in the “other” category.

($n=62$), natalizumab infusions ($n=60$), ocrelizumab ($n=20$), pegylated-interferon-beta ($n=17$), dimethyl fumarate ($n=14$), rituximab ($n=12$), natalizumab subcutaneous injections ($n=10$), ofatumumab ($n=7$) and others ($n=16$).

Congenital abnormalities

In total, five pregnancies were reported with congenital abnormalities, three preterm pregnancies and two term pregnancies. Of the three preterm pregnancies, one had been exposed to glatiramer acetate for 38 days, another received rituximab at 43 days gestation, the third was not DMT-exposed. No specifics of the congenital abnormalities were reported for this group. One term pregnancy reporting a chromosomal abnormality had been exposed to dimethyl fumarate for 37 days. This mother had a history of prior pregnancies, one of which had also resulted in the birth of a child with congenital abnormalities. The other term pregnancy with congenital abnormality was not DMT-exposed.

Discussion

Family planning and pregnancy decisions are key considerations in the management of women with MS and NMOSD. In the era of highly effective disease-modifying therapies knowledge gaps remain, including best management strategies through pregnancy and whether there are fetal risks associated with DMT exposure *in utero*.

In this first report from the newly launched prospective MSBase Pregnancy, Neonatal Outcomes and Women’s Health Registry, we report 101 ongoing pregnancies, and pregnancy outcomes for 1922 completed pregnancies, including 21 completed pregnancies for women with NMOSD.

Over 85% of pregnancies with known outcomes resulted in live births, in line with other recently reported studies.²² In our registry, 9% of births were preterm births, 10% of pregnancies were miscarried, reassuringly in line with data from German MS Pregnancy Registry,²³ and in line with global rates in the general population as per the World Health Organization.^{24,25}

Interestingly, 75% of pregnancies were DMT exposed in the 6 months prior to conception, decreasing to 62% of pregnancies during gestation, an overall lower percentage of DMT use than in the German pregnancy registry that reported 76% exposure at pregnancy.²³ Ours may be a slight underestimate as we measured the date of last infusion for monoclonal antibodies, but did not estimate the duration of effect of these drugs. We know, for example that infusional anti-CD20 monoclonal antibodies have a durable effect, protecting against disease activity for many months into pregnancy and potentially beyond.⁶ The recent study reporting pregnancy outcomes from the Roche pharmacovigilance study for example defined exposure to ocrelizumab if the last infusion was

Table 3. Disease-modifying therapy use associated with 1615 live births to women with relapse-onset MS.

Disease-modifying therapy	Number of discontinuations within 6 months of LMP	Days discontinued prior to LMP; median (IQR; range)	Gestational exposures <i>n</i> (% total on drug) ^a	Days exposure, median (IQR; range)	Number of DMT restarts within 3 months after delivery	Days to restart within 3 months, median (IQR; range)
Azathioprine	–	–	1 (100%)	32	–	–
Interferon-beta-1a IM	8	0 (1, 0; 0–14)	51 (86%)	30 (15, 44; 1–286)	25	0 (0, 50; 0–91)
Interferon-beta-1a SC	27	21 (0, 73; 0–179)	117 (91.4%)	37 (28, 58; 1–288)	14	0 (0, 0; 0–45)
Interferon-beta-1b	4	5 (0, 74; 0–139)	20 (80%)	54 (24, 270; 1–286)	71	25 (0, 58; 0–90)
Pegylated Interferon-beta	13	2 (0, 16; 0–141)	53 (95%)	31 (24, 73; 1–286)	30	16 (0, 46; 0–86)
Glatiramer Acetate	39	5 (0, 31; 0–149)	211 (89.4%)	41 (27, 231; 1–290)	163	0 (0, 43; 0–91)
Teriflunomide	6	77 (35, 128; 31–146)	7 (88%)	35 (31, 66; 22–85)	20	52 (16, 69; 0–88)
Dimethyl Fumarate	40	19 (0, 54; 0–152)	135 (94.4%)	30 (17, 43; 1–284)	74	48 (6, 70; 0–91)
Diroximel Fumarate	–	–	–	–	1	82
Fingolimod	25	65 (30, 89; 0–183)	48 (98%)	27 (13, 46; 1–92)	21	35 (15, 64; 0–82)
Siponimod	–	–	–	–	1	5
Cladribine	6	128 (120, 153; 45–173)	1 (100%)	124	23	0 (0, 0; 0–90)
Alemtuzumab	3	169 (167–181)	2 (100%)	191, 228	5	7 (0, 7; 0–31)
Natalizumab (IV)	19	16 (4, 30; 0–178)	174 (91%)	202 (40, 230; 1–251)	190	10 (0, 36; 0–89)
Natalizumab (SC)	1	19	12 (100%)	235 (202, 256; 87–283)	19	73 (51, 81; 0–90)
Ocrelizumab	69	71 (18, 115; 0–174)	29 (58%)	26 (2, 36; 1–146)	116	26 (3, 56; 0–91)
Ofatumumab	1	0	3 (100%)	1, 10, 11	21	29 (21, 56; 2–83)
Rituximab	10	97 (69, 131; 45–142)	5 (100%)	26 (24, 43; 1–46)	17	0 (0, 0; 0–14)
Methotrexate	1	13	–	–	–	–
No DMT exposure	337	–	609	–	804	–

^aNote not all clinicians reported in-pregnancy DMT stop dates. Data based on 869/1006 exposures with stop dates reported.

within 3 months of conception.²² Given that here we assessed numerous infusional therapies with varying mechanisms of action, here we determined to use a consistent definition for all. Postpartum, only 50% of our study population with live births had restarted DMT use within the first 3 months of delivery. Most women resumed the use of the same DMT as they had used preconception or during pregnancy, although we did observe a low level of DMT switch. The median exposure to DMT during pregnancy was 42 days. This has increased marginally since our previous 2019 report showing a median exposure of 30 days,²⁶ indicating that many women still discontinue DMT upon pregnancy confirmation. However, we did see a marked increase in the duration of natalizumab exposure, median 202 days (28.9 weeks) in the present study, as compared with 24 days in our previous report.²⁶ This reflects our increased understanding of maternal and fetal outcomes in babies exposed to natalizumab in utero,¹² and comfort with using natalizumab through to the end of the second trimester to minimize risk of in-pregnancy disease rebound upon withdrawal.^{4,7}

We observed pregnancy management varied among countries. Australian and Kuwaiti clinicians were most likely to manage pregnancies with monoclonal antibodies, whereas Europeans were most likely to use interferons, glatiramer acetate or dimethyl fumarate to manage pregnancy. These differences likely reflect local legislative and therapeutic agency indications for these drugs. While still relatively new, we saw the growing use of ocrelizumab, ofatumumab and subcutaneous natalizumab use perinatally.

While we did not have sufficient weight or other biometric data to analyze neonatal outcomes by DMT exposure, regardless, no overt safety signals were observed. Previous studies have not reported overt differences in outcomes of babies born to mothers with MS, and non-MS controls.²⁷ Furthermore, studies by other groups have not reported concerning safety signals for the newer DMT including natalizumab^{12,28} and ocrelizumab.²²

Few studies to-date have reported obstetric outcomes for women with NMOSD.^{29–31} Here we report 17 (81%) live births and one neonatal death (4.8%) for 21 completed pregnancies in women with NMOSD. Six pregnancies, including the neonatal death, were exposed to rituximab. An analysis of a 153 rituximab-exposed pregnancies reported one neonatal death at 6 weeks.³² In the general population, across Europe, North America, and Australasia, neonatal deaths occur in 2–3 per 1000 live births.³³ Here we reported

six neonatal deaths across our MS and NMOSD cohorts, marginally higher than the expected five given our cohort size. However, our per-DMT sample size is too small to draw any causal conclusions.

A key strength of our Registry is the unified, prospective, multicenter, international dataset that we have been able to accumulate, with over 2000 pregnancies from women with MS, RIS, and NMOSD recorded over a 4-year period. With time and increasing data collection, we will be able to compare pregnancy and neonatal outcomes across various regions and accumulate real-world evidence for safety signals. Our registry has further worked with the BigMS network to align key data fields, so that we will be able to collaboratively report on safety signals across registries in the future. We will therefore continue to contribute critical evidence for MS management and obstetric care.

We do, however, have several limitations. Our neonatal and pregnancy outcome data are self-reported; therefore, we are unable to confirm outcomes with obstetricians, pediatricians, or other healthcare professionals. Further, details of congenital abnormalities for 4/5 pregnancies were unavailable, and pregnancy complications were underreported. We continue to work with our network to ensure the most accurate acquisition of this important data as we move forward. Another limitation of our registry is that a large number of pregnancies, almost 20%, were lost to follow-up. We have now initiated three-monthly reminders to our network to follow up on known pregnancies, so that we can reduce the number lost to follow-up. Furthermore, the international nature of our database, differing pediatric practice, and legal frameworks means that we are unable to collect neonatal outcomes beyond 12 months of age. To better understand the impacts of DMT exposure in children born to mothers with MS, we will eagerly anticipate results from national registries such as the French RESPONSE study that will be collecting these outcomes out to 6 years.¹⁰

Conclusion

This first report from the international MSBase pregnancy registry shows that an increasing number of pregnancies are conceived on monoclonal antibody therapies, confirming increased confidence in their use in the peri-conception period. Reassuringly our data do not show any safety issues at present. However, in an era where pregnancies are increasingly exposed to DMT use and with the growing number of DMT available, it is important to continue to

collect data to monitor for fetal and maternal serious adverse events in real-world databases.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank all study participants for sharing their clinical data, particularly in difficult circumstances of aborted or miscarried pregnancies or neonatal deaths. We also thank the MSBase Operations team Ms Charlotte Sartori, Ms Rein More, Ms Linda Sim, Ms Cynthia Tang, Ms Carolyn Tran, Mr Dusko Stupar, Ms Pamela Farr, and Ms Alison Le for supporting and maintaining the MSBase Registry, without which, this work could not be done.

The MSBase Study Co-Investigators and Contributors

Dr Marta Vachova, *KZ a.s., Hospital Teplice, Czech Republic*

Dr Jana Libertinova, *Second Faculty of Medicine, Charles University and Motol University Hospital, Prague, Czech Republic*

Dr Michael Barnett, *Brain and Mind Centre, The University of Sydney, Australia*

Dr Pavel Hradilek, *Department of Neurology, Faculty of Medicine, Ostrava University, Czech Republic*

Dr Pierre Duquette, *CHUM MS Center and Université de Montreal*

Dr Alena Martinkova, *Department of Neurology, Hospital Pardubice, Czech Republic*

Dr William M Carroll, *Perron Institute for Neurological and Translational Science, University of Western Australia and Centre for Molecular Medicine and Innovative Therapeutics, Murdoch University, Perth, Australia, Department of Neurology, Sir Charles Gairdner Hospital*

Dr Eva Recmanova, *Department of Neurology, CSSS Saint-Jérôme, Julie Prevost; Tomas Bata Hospital, Zlin, Czech Republic*

Ms Louise Rath, *Department of Neurology, Alfred Health, Melbourne, Australia*

Dr Guillermo Izquierdo, *Hospital Universitario Virgen Macarena, Sevilla, Spain*

Dr Abdorreza Naser Moghadasi, *Multiple Sclerosis Research Center, Neuroscience Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran*

Dr Mark Slee *Flinders University, Adelaide, Australia*

Dr Davide Maimone, *Azienda Ospedaliera per l'Emergenza Cannizzaro, Catania, Italy*

Dr Jiwon Oh, *St. Michael's Hospital, Toronto, Canada*

Dr Murat Terzi, *19 Mayıs University, Samsun, Turkey*

Dr Pierre Grammond, *CISSS Chaudière-Appalache, Lévis, Canada*

Dr Jose Luis Sanchez-Menoyo, *Galdakao-Usansolo University Hospital, Osakidetza-Basque Health Service, Galdakao, Spain*

Dr Emanuele D'Amico, *Università di Foggia, Medical and Surgical Sciences, Foggia, Italy*

Dr Beatriz Romero Ferrando, *Waikato Hospital, Hamilton, New Zealand*

Dr Mehmet Fatih Yetkin, *Erciyes University, Neurology, Kayseri, Turkey*

Dr Bassem Yamout, *Harley Street Medical Centre, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates*

Dr Ivana Stetkarova, *Third Faculty of Medicine, Charles University in Prague and Hospital Kralovske Vinohrady, Czech Republic*

Dr Cristina Ramo-Tello, *Hospital Germans Trias i Pujol, Badalona, Spain*

Dr Koen de Gans, *Groene Hart Ziekenhuis, Gouda, Netherlands*

Dr Riadh Gouider and Dr Saloua Mrabet, *Razi University Hospital, Tunis, Tunisia*

Dr Abdullah Al-Asmi, *Sultan Qaboos University, Al-Khodh, Oman*

Dr Rana Karabudak, *Yeditepe University, Istanbul, Turkey*

Dr Aysun Soysal, *Bakirkoy Education and Research Hospital for Psychiatric and Neurological Diseases, Istanbul, Turkey*

Dr Samia J. Khoury, *American University of Beirut Medical Center, Beirut, Lebanon*

Dr Emmanuelle Lapointe, *Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Sherbrooke, Canada*

Dr Simón Cárdenas-Robledo, *Hospital Universitario Nacional de Colombia Bogotá, Colombia*

Dr Nevin Shalaby, *Cairo University, Kasr-Al-Ainy MS Clinic, Cairo, Egypt*

Dr Daniele Spitaleri, *Azienda Ospedaliera di Rilievo Nazionale San Giuseppe Moscati Avellino, Avellino, Italy*

Dr Joana Guimarães, *Unidade Local de Saúde de São João, Porto, Portugal*

Dr Gregor Brecl Jakob, *Department of Neurology, University Medical Centre Ljubljana, Slovenia*

Dr Suzanne Hodgkinson, *Immune tolerance laboratory Ingham Institute and Dept of Medicine, UNSW, Sydney, Australia*

Dr Mohammad Baghbanian, *Dr Baghbanian Clinic, Iran*

Dr Recai Turkoglu, *Haydarpasa Numune Training and Research Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey*

Dr Vincent van Pesch, *Cliniques Universitaires Saint-Luc, Brussels, Belgium*

Dr Francois Grand'Maison, *Neuro Rive-Sud, Quebec, Canada*

Dr Elisabetta Cartechini, *AST Macerata, Macerata, Italy*

Dr Enrique Gomez-Figueroa, *Civil Hospital of Guadalajara, Neurology, Guadalajara, Mexico*

Dr Jennifer Massey, *St Vincent's Hospital, Sydney, Australia*
Dr Chiyoko Nohara, *Tokyo Metropolitan Health and Medical Treatment Corporation Ebara Hospital, Department of Neurology, Tokyo, Japan*
Dr Kenzo Sakurai, *St. Marianna University School of Medicine, Japan*
Dr Deborah Mason, *Christchurch Hospital, Christchurch, New Zealand*
Dr Talal Al-Harbi, *King Fahad Specialist Hospital-Dammam, Saudi Arabia*
Dr Tamara Castillo-Triviño, *Hospital Universitario Donostia and IIS Biodonostia, San Sebastián, Spain*
Dr Fumitaka Shimizu, *Yamaguchi University Graduate School of Medicine, Department of Neurology and Clinical Neuroscience, Ube, Japan*
Dr Bruce Taylor, *Royal Hobart Hospital, Hobart, Australia*
Dr Todd A. Hardy, Dr Steve Vucic, and Dr Stephen Reddel, *Concord Repatriation General Hospital, Sydney, Australia*
Dr Sudarshini Ramanathan, *Translational Neuroimmunology Group, Kids Neuroscience Centre and Brain and Mind Centre, Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia*
Dr Danny Decoo, *AZ Alma Ziekenhuis, Sijsele—Damme, Belgium*
Dr Maria Cecilia Aragon de Vecino, *Hospital Moinhos de Vento, Multiple Sclerosis Unit, Porto Alegre, Brazil*
Dr Yuri Nakamura, *Fukuoka Central Hospital, Department of Neurology, Brain and Nerve Center, Fukuoka, Japan*
Dr Norio Chihara, *Kobe University Graduate School of Medicine, Division of Neurology, Kobe, Japan*
Dr Eli Skromne, *Hospital Angeles de las Lomas. Instituto Mexicano de Neurociencias., Huixquilucan Estado de Mexico, Mexico*
Dr Oksana Kopchak, *Kyiv Medical University, Ukraine*

Author Contributions

Scientific committee: Jokubaitis (co-chair, scientific lead), Gray (co-chair, medical lead), van der Walt, Alroughani, Altintas, Butzkueven, Eichau, Hughes, Willekens.

Study concept and design: Jokubaitis, Gray, van der Walt, Alroughani, Altintas, Butzkueven, Eichau, Hughes, Willekens

Statistical analysis and drafting of the manuscript: Jokubaitis

Data acquisition, interpretation of the data and Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: All authors

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared the following potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: VGJ Receives research fellowship support from the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia (2025360). Her institution receives research funding support from F.Hoffmann-La Roche, the International Progressive MS Alliance, Multiple Sclerosis Australia and the Pennycook Foundation outside of this current work. She has received speaker's honoraria from Novartis and The Limbic.

RA received honoraria as a speaker and for serving on scientific advisory boards from Bayer, Biogen, GSK, Merck, Novartis, Roche, and Sanofi Genzyme.

AA received speaker honoraria from Alexion and travel grant from Ali Raif Ilac, Merck.

SE have received speaker honoraria and consultant fees from Biogen Idec, Novartis, Merck, Janssen, Bristol-Meyers, Bayer, Sanofi Genzyme, Roche and Teva.

SH has received unrestricted educational grants or speaking honoraria from Biogen, Merck Serono, Novartis, Roche, and Sanofi Genzyme.

BW received honoraria for acting as a member of Scientific Advisory Boards/Consultancy for Alexion, Almirall, Biogen, Celgene/BMS, Merck, Janssen, Novartis, Roche, Sandoz, Sanofi Genzyme and speaker honoraria and travel support from Biogen, Celgene/BMS, Merck, Novartis, Roche, Sanofi Genzyme; research and/or patient support grants from Biogen, Janssen, Merck, Sanofi Genzyme, Roche. Honoraria and grants were paid to the UZA/UZA Foundation. Further, B.W. received research funding from FWO-TBM, Belgian Charcot Foundation, Start2Cure Foundation, Queen Elisabeth Medical Foundation for Neurosciences, and the National MS Society USA.

DH was supported by the Charles University: Cooperation Program in Neuroscience, by the project National Institute for Neurological Research (Programme EXCELES, ID Project No. LX22NPO5107)—Funded by the European Union—Next Generation EU, and by General University Hospital in Prague project MH CZ-DRO-VFN64165. She also received compensation for travel, speaker honoraria and consultant fees from Biogen Idec, Novartis, Merck, Bayer, Sanofi Genzyme, Roche, and Teva, as well as support for research activities from Biogen Idec.

EKH received honoraria/research support from Biogen, Merck Serono, Novars, Roche, and Teva; has been member of advisory boards for Actelion, Biogen, Celgene, Merck Serono, Novars, and Sanofi Genzyme; received honoraria/research support from

Biogen, Merck Serono, Novartis, Roche, and Teva; has been member of advisory boards for Actelion, Biogen, Celgene, Merck Serono, Novartis, and Sanofi Genzyme; and has been supported by the Czech Ministry of Education—project Cooperation LF1, research area Neuroscience, and the project National Institute for Neurological Research (Programme EXCELES, ID project No LX22NPO5107)—funded by the European Union-Next Generation EU.

SO reports no disclosures.

CB received conference travel support from Biogen, Novartis, Bayer Schering, Merck and Teva; has participated in clinical trials by Sanofi-Aventis, Roche and Novartis.

MH Received consultation and/or speaker fees from Biogen, Merck, Novartis, Roche, AstraZeneca, Amgen.

TK Tomas Kalincik served on scientific advisory boards or as a consultant for MS International Federation and World Health Organization, Therapeutic Goods Administration, BMS, Roche, Janssen, Genzyme, Novartis, Merck and Biogen, received conference travel support and/or speaker honoraria from WebMD Global, Merck, Sandoz, Novartis, Biogen, Roche, Eisai, Genzyme, Teva and BioCSL and received research or educational event support from Biogen, Novartis, Genzyme, Roche, Celgene and Merck.

IR served on scientific advisory boards, received conference travel support and/or speaker honoraria from Roche, Novartis, Merck and Biogen. Izanne Roos is supported by MS Australia and the Trish Multiple Sclerosis Research Foundation.

ME reports no disclosures.

MP received honoraria and travel grants from the following pharmaceutical companies: Biogen, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Genzyme, Janssen-Cilag, Merck Serono, Novartis, Roche, and Teva Pharma.

JLS has received travel compensation from Novartis, Biogen, Roche and Merck. Her institution receives the honoraria for talks and advisory board commitment as well as research grants from Biogen, Merck, Roche and Novartis.

JEML Jose E. Meca-Lallana has received honoraria as a consultant, as a chairman or lecturer in meetings and has participated in clinical trials and other research projects promoted by Alexion, Almirall, Biogen, Bristol Myers Squibb, Horizon, Johnson & Johnson, Merck, Neuraxpharm, Novartis, Roche, Sandoz, Sanofi and UCB.

ZR reports no disclosures.

JH received compensations for travel and consultant fees from Biogen Idec, Novartis, Merck Serono, Roche, Sanofi Genzyme, Teva and Janssen-Cilag.

AP reports no disclosures.

MG reports no disclosures.

RA received conference travel support from Novartis, Teva, Biogen, Bayer and Merck and has participated in clinical trials by Biogen, Novartis, Teva and Actelion.

KB received speaker honoraria and/or education support from Biogen, Teva, Novartis, Genzyme-Sanofi, Roche, Merck and Alexion; has been a member of advisory boards for Merck and Biogen.

OS received honoraria and consulting fees from Bayer Schering, Novartis, Merck, Biogen and Genzyme.

NAJ is a PI on commercial MS studies sponsored by Novartis, Roche, Biogen and Sanofi. He has received speaker's honoraria from Merck. He has had conference travel and registration reimbursement; and consultancy fees from Novartis.

AGK Served on Scientific Advisory Boards for Bayer, BioCSL, Biogen Idec, Clene Nanomedicine, Esai, Innate Immunotherapeutics, Lgpharma, Merck, Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma, NeuroScientific Biopharmaceuticals, Novartis, Progenis, Roche, Sanofi-Aventis, Sanofi Genzyme, Teva, and View Health.

MJF-P received travel compensation from Merck.

MF received travel and meeting attendance support from Novartis, Biogen, Roche, Sanofi Genzyme and Merck.

AS received travel and meeting attendance support from Novartis, Biogen, Roche, Merck, Bristol, Sanofi Genzyme, Almirall, Piam.

YB received speaker honoraria/consulting fees from Merck, Biogen, Roche, Bristol, Novartis, Sanofi and Sandoz.

SMB has served on scientific advisory boards and have received conference travel support and/or speaker honoraria from several pharmaceutical companies including Roche, Novartis, Merck, Cinnagen, Nanoalvand and Biogen.

OG reports no disclosures.

RM or his institution has received remuneration for his speaking engagements, advisory board memberships, research and travel from Biogen, Merck, Genzyme, Bayer, Roche, Teva, Novartis, CSL, BMS, MedDay and NHMRC.

ZP received honoraria and travel grants from the following pharmaceutical companies: Biogen, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Eli Lilly, Genzyme, Janssen-Cilag, Lundbeck, Merck Serono, Novartis, Roche, and Teva Pharma.

PS received compensations for travel, speaker honoraria and consultant fees from Biogen Idec, Novartis, Merck Serono, Roche, Sanofi Genzyme, Teva and Janssen-Cilag.

PMC received speakers fees and travel grants from Novartis, Biogen, T'évalua, Sanofi

GL received travel and/or consultancy compensation from Sanofi Genzyme, Roche, Teva, Merck, Novartis, Celgene, Biogen.

HB is an employee of Monash University and has accepted travel compensation from Merck; his institution receives honoraria for talks, steering committee activities, and research grants from Roche, Merck, Biogen, Novartis, and UCB Pharma, Medical Research Future Fund Australia, NHMRC Australia, Trish MS Foundation, MS Australia and the Pennycook Foundation. He receives personal compensation for steering group activities for the Brain Health Initiative from Oxford Health Policy Forum and is funded by an NHMRC Australia Investigator Grant.

AVDW served on advisory boards and receives unrestricted research grants from Novartis, Biogen, Merck, and Roche. She has received speaker's honoraria and travel support from Novartis, Roche, and Merck. She receives grant support from the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia and MS Research Australia.

OG received honoraria as consultant on scientific advisory boards for Genzyme, Biogen, Merck, Roche, and Novartis; has received travel grants from Biogen, Merck, Roche, and Novartis; has participated in clinical trials by Biogen and Merck. Her institution has received research grant support from Biogen.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: The study did not receive specific funding support. The MSBase Registry receives financial support from Biogen, Merck, Novartis and Roche.

ORCID iDs

Vilija G Jokubaitis  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3942-4340>

Dana Horakova  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1915-0036>

Tomas Kalincik  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3778-1376>

Izanne Roos  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0371-3666>

Nevin A John  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9834-4498>

Allan G Kermod  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4476-4016>

Seyed Mohammad Baghbanian  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8138-7504>

Zbysek Pavelek  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1772-8781>

Anneke van der Walt  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4278-7003>

References

1. Nguyen AL, Eastaugh A, van der Walt A, et al. Pregnancy and multiple sclerosis: Clinical effects across the lifespan. *Autoimmun Rev* 2019; 18(10): 102360.
2. Siriratnam P, Huda S, Butzkueven H, et al. Risks and outcomes of pregnancy in neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder: A comprehensive review. *Autoimmun Rev* 2024; 23(2): 103499.
3. Krysko KM, Graves JS, Dobson R, et al. Sex effects across the lifespan in women with multiple sclerosis. *Ther Adv Neurol Disord* 2020; 13: 1756286420936166.
4. Krysko KM, Dobson R, Alroughani R, et al. Family planning considerations in people with multiple sclerosis. *Lancet Neurol* 2023; 22(4): 350–366.
5. Bridge F, Butzkueven H, van der Walt A, et al. The impact of menopause on multiple sclerosis. *Autoimmun Rev* 2023; 22(8): 103363.
6. Yeh WZ, van der Walt A, Skibina OG, et al. Disease activity in pregnant and postpartum women with multiple sclerosis receiving ocrelizumab or other disease-modifying therapies. *Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm* 2024; 11(6): e200328.
7. Yeh WZ, Widyastuti PA, van der Walt A, et al. Natalizumab, fingolimod and dimethyl fumarate use and pregnancy-related relapse and disability in women with multiple sclerosis. *Neurology* 2021; 96: e2989–e3002.
8. Sadovnick D, Criscuoli M, Yee I, et al. The Canadian multiple sclerosis pregnancy study: First-trimester miscarriages in women with multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler* 2023; 29(3): 407–414.
9. Andersen JB, Kopp TI, Sellebjerg F, et al. Pregnancy-related and perinatal outcomes in women with multiple sclerosis: A nationwide Danish cross-sectional study. *Neurol Clin Pract* 2021; 11(4): 280–290.
10. Vukusic S, Bourre B, Casey R, et al. The response study: A French registry on pregnancy in women with MS and related disorders and their children up to 6 years—Protocol, recruitment status, and baseline characteristics. *Mult Scler* 2024; 30(2): 216–226.
11. Ciplea AI, Kurzeja A, Thiel S, et al. Eighteen-month safety analysis of offspring breastfed by mothers receiving glatiramer acetate therapy for relapsing multiple sclerosis—COBRA study. *Mult Scler* 2022; 28(10): 1641–1650.

12. Thiel S, Litvin N, Haben S, et al. Disease activity and neonatal outcomes after exposure to natalizumab throughout pregnancy. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2023; 95: 1–10.
13. Portaccio E, Pastò L, Razzolini L, et al. Natalizumab treatment and pregnancy in multiple sclerosis: A reappraisal of maternal and infant outcomes after 6 years. *Mult Scler* 2022; 28(13): 2137–2141.
14. Houtchens MK, Manieri MC, Mahlanza TD, et al. A real-world prospective multiple sclerosis pregnancy registry in the United States: PREG-MS. *Neurol Clin Pract* 2025; 15(2): e200425.
15. Anderson A, Rowles W, Poole S, et al. Anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody therapy in postpartum women with neurological conditions. *Ann Clin Transl Neurol* 2023; 10(11): 2053–2064.
16. Dobson R, Dassan P, Roberts M, et al. UK consensus on pregnancy in multiple sclerosis: “Association of British Neurologists” guidelines. *Pract Neurol* 2019; 19(2): 106–114.
17. Watts DH. Teratogenicity risk of antiretroviral therapy in pregnancy. *Curr HIV/AIDS Rep* 2007; 4(3): 135–140.
18. Mao-Draayer Y, Thiel S, Mills EA, et al. Neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders and pregnancy: Therapeutic considerations. *Nat Rev Neurol* 2020; 16(3): 154–170.
19. Vukusic S, Marignier R, Ciron J, et al. Pregnancy and neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders: 2022 recommendations from the French multiple sclerosis society. *Mult Scler* 2023; 29(1): 37–51.
20. Butzkueven H, Chapman J, Cristiano E, et al. MSBase: An international, online registry and platform for collaborative outcomes research in multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler* 2006; 12(6): 769–774.
21. Jokubaitis VG, Skibina O, Alroughani R, et al. The MSBase pregnancy, neonatal outcomes, and women’s health registry. *Ther Adv Neurol Disord* 2021; 14: 17562864211009104.
22. Vukusic S, Bove R, Dobson R, et al. Pregnancy and infant outcomes in women with multiple sclerosis treated with ocrelizumab. *Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm* 2025; 12(1): e200349.
23. Thiel S, Ciplea AI, Gold R, et al. The German multiple sclerosis and pregnancy registry: Rationale, objective, design, and first results. *Ther Adv Neurol Disord* 2021; 14: 17562864211054956.
24. World Health Organization. Why we need to talk about losing a baby 2025, <https://www.who.int/news-room/spotlight/why-we-need-to-talk-about-losing-a-baby> (accessed 23 February 2025).
25. World Health Organization. Preterm birth 10 May 2023; 2023, <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/preterm-birth> (accessed 23 February 2025).
26. Nguyen AL, Havrdova EK, Horakova D, et al. Incidence of pregnancy and disease-modifying therapy exposure trends in women with multiple sclerosis: A contemporary cohort study. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2019; 28: 235–243.
27. Marozio L, Cavalla P, Sottemano S, et al. Fetal and post-natal growth in infants of mothers with multiple sclerosis: A case-control study. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2022; 65: 104087.
28. Landi D, Bovis F, Grimaldi A, et al. Exposure to natalizumab throughout pregnancy: Effectiveness and safety in an Italian cohort of women with multiple sclerosis. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. Epub ahead of print 30 September 2022. DOI: 10.1136/jnnp-2022-329657.
29. Kim SH, Huh SY, Jang H, et al. Outcome of pregnancies after onset of the neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder. *Eur J Neurol* 2020; 27(8): 1546–1555.
30. Seyed Ahadi M, Sahraian MA, Shaygannejad V, et al. Pregnancy outcome in patients with neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder treated with rituximab: A case-series study. *Caspian J Intern Med* 2021; 12(suppl 2): S491–S494.
31. Wang L, Su M, Zhou Z, et al. Analysis of pregnancy-related attacks in neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Netw Open* 2022; 5(8): e2225438.
32. Chakravarty EF, Murray ER, Kelman A, et al. Pregnancy outcomes after maternal exposure to rituximab. *Blood* 2011; 117(5): 1499–1506.
33. UNICEF. Neonatal mortality 2024, <https://data.unicef.org/topic/child-survival/neonatal-mortality/> (accessed 13 January 2025).